

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/073,008	02/12/2002	Masayuki Hariya	389.41181X00	5084	
24956 75	590 09/18/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD			OSBORNE	OSBORNE, LUKE R	
SUITE 370			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		2123			

DATE MAILED: 09/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/073,008	HARIYA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Luke Osborne	2123	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Luke Osborne	2123				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follop laces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completellowing time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of	•					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a since an incomposition. 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	•	`	,			
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in below.	nsideration and/or search (see NOw);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or		inskad alaima				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	ompliant Amondmon	F/DTOL 324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amendment	(FTOL-324).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	•	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:		/_/_/	(
	SUPER	PAUL RODRIGUEZ VISORY PATENT EXAM	9/5/06			
	OUI EN	COUCH CHIENL PIAN	/			

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's have made numerous amendments to the claims and in particular to sole independent claim 17. While Applicant's insist that the amendments have been made to more clearly recite the invention, they have also clearly change the scope of the invention e.g. in line four of Claim 17 where "at least one" changed to "each of a plurality of". The changes to the claims require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are directed toward the amended claims, and as such require further search and consideration.